

behaviour has resulted in him being assaulted by [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] is seen on CCTV to be acting in a disorderly manner. He has been seen to bump into a couple walking down the street before then bumping into [REDACTED]. This can be seen to instigate verbal altercation between both [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] before pushing and shoving between the two is seen.

This carries on with punches being thrown by both parties when [REDACTED] (a taxi marshal) has tried to split up both parties. [REDACTED] has continued to act in a disorderly and aggressive manner trying to get at [REDACTED], and is seen to push [REDACTED] also.

[REDACTED] and his friend walk off shortly followed by [REDACTED]. The CCTV shows further altercation between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] is a security guard at the kebab shop and comes over to intervene and flag down police. [REDACTED] can clearly be seen to punch [REDACTED] once to the head causing him to fall flat on his back in the middle of the zebra crossing. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were both with a friend [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] however both have refused to provide a statement or account.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] both have provided statements and their accounts are corroborated by the CCTV. [REDACTED] clearly states that [REDACTED] was acting in an aggressive and disorderly manner towards [REDACTED] by intending to start a fight with the male, as well as antagonising other members of public walking past and this is all on CCTV.

[REDACTED] stated that both the males were pulling and pushing each other having an altercation although did not see who actually punched [REDACTED] to the floor as he was flagging down police.

The CCTV corroborates the two witness statements provided which evidence both males acting in a disorderly, aggressive manner towards each other, and [REDACTED] towards other unknown members of public.

[REDACTED] has been interviewed and answered no comment to all questions.

[REDACTED] has been interviewed and stated that he couldn't recall the incident but having been shown the CCTV was acting in a disorderly manner but was being aggressive due to being provoked and acting in self defence.

[REDACTED] will not support an allegation of assault and so I recommend that [REDACTED] is charged with Section 4 public order. The case is in the public interest and there is a RPOC due to clear CCTV of the incident.

I recommend that [REDACTED] is charged with section 5 public order due to his behaviour seen on CCTV and corroborated in a witness statement giving a RPOC. Due to not making full admissions an out of court disposal is not available and this case is on the public interest due to his behaviour in a public place and towards innocent members of the public.

Sergeant review 17/03/2015 17:44 [REDACTED], No

Log entry: Suspect to return on bail on 22/03/15 to be charged with Sec5 POA

Investigator action 23/03/2015 02:40 [REDACTED], No

Log entry: Sus charged, e-file submitted to ERO berks hub, hard copies submitted with CCTV to ERO LC. 43_SW02155_15

Scrutineer/DDM review 23/03/2015 10:11 [REDACTED] E. No

Log entry: SCRUTINEER REVIEW - UPDATE FROM AUDIT D (CUSTODY) - SUSPECT ARRESTED AND ISSUED WITH A CHARGE FOR PUBLIC ORDER OFFENS ? OUTCOME APPLIED
Classification Correct - YES
Offence Location Confirmed - YES
Victim Details Complete - YES
Hate Crime Qualifier Confirmed ? YES

ID: 15204349 Tape Number: 293
 ISTANBUL KEBAB HOUSE
 67, VICTORIA STREET, WINDSOR, SL4 1EH
 URN: Date: Time: Location Reference:
 164 11/04/2015 02:45 [23/3/32/134](#)

Beat Code: [LA61](#)
 Caller: LQ36 1137 FRANKLIN CONSTABLE
 Classification: EMERGENCY :
 Response: IMMEDIATE
 Result: PERSON ARRESTED
 Closing Type L2: VIOLENCE AGAINST THE PERSON
 Closing Type L3: CRIME REPORT
 Brief Details:
 ANOTHER UNIT

Time(mins) from +IC:		Despatch = 5		At Scene = n/a		Leave Scene = 104		CI:
Force ID:	Res Type:	RD:	AS:	LS:	RC:	AI:	DW:	
[REDACTED]	YQ					04:29	05:24	
[REDACTED]	YQ					04:29	05:24	
[REDACTED]	PK	02:50	03:17	03:17				
[REDACTED]	PK	02:50	03:17	03:17				
[REDACTED]	EQ		02:46	04:01				
[REDACTED]	YQ		02:45	04:29				
[REDACTED]	YQ		02:45	04:29				

Details from incident log:

02:45 11/04/2015 C6461 ANOTHER UNIT
 02:48 11/04/2015 C6461 LAS43 - ANY OF THIS INCIDENT CAPTURED BY LV IN VICTORIA
 02:48 11/04/2015 C6461 STREET
 02:50 11/04/2015 C6461 LAS43 - UNIT FROM GOSWELL HILL TO ATTEND VICTORIA ST
 03:56 11/04/2015 C2524 1
 03:59 11/04/2015 C6475 LAS55 - LAS43 TO SEE IF ANOTHER UNIT WITH TRANSPORT
 03:59 11/04/2015 C6475 WRAYSBURY
 05:22 11/04/2015 C682 ***
 05:22 11/04/2015 C682 [REDACTED]
 05:22 11/04/2015 C682 AFFRAY
 05:22 11/04/2015 C682 43150099544
 05:22 11/04/2015 C682 URN CAN BE CLSOED
 05:22 11/04/2015 C682 **

**** NO TEXT IN CLOSED LOG ****

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: 43150099544 Public Order Act Offences (Secs 4, 4A And 5) Alarm Or Distress/Fear Or Provocation Of Violence [9A] @11/04/2015 05:01 (67 VICTORIA STREET, WINDSOR, WINDSOR AND MAIDENHEAD United Kingdom SL4 1EH (ISTANBUL KEBAB HOUSE) (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighb

Task status: All

Log type: All

Valid as of January 14, 2016 at 14:45

Printed by [REDACTED]

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	11/04/2015 05:04		[REDACTED]	No	
Log entry:	<p>ORC ... OFFICERS HAVE SEEN A FIGHT BREAK OUT IN THE ROAD IN FRONT OF THE KEBAB HOUSE. WHEN APPROACHED THE MALES INVOLVED, ONE MALE PARTY HAD BLOOD TO NOSE AND FACE. UNKNOWN INJURES, BOTH PARTIES UNWILLING TO ENGAGE. UNKNOWN WHICH PARTY WAS THE OFFENDER/AGGD IN THE INCIDENT.</p>				
Investigator action	11/04/2015 05:26		[REDACTED]	No	
Log entry:	<p>A fight broke out outside of Istanbul Kebab house. Officers approached and males all split up. one male had blood to his face but declined ambulance. Male wouldn't engage at the time stating he didn't know why the fight happened and who it was with specifically. other males in the party also stating they were unsure what happened and weren't willing to provide statements.</p> <p>[REDACTED] spoke with the other party involved and also stated they didn't want to provide statements and unsure who else was involved and injuries unknown as ambulance also declined. We have viewed the CCTV and it is unclear who started the disorder and for what reason as you can just see the whole group break out in to a fight.</p> <p>At this time no parties have disclosed any injuries and have refused to provide statements or their involvement in the disorder. Early turn enquiries are for all males involved to be contacted and asked whether they would like to provide a statement as to what happened and the 2 sus males to be contacted and asked to provide statemnts.</p>				
Investigator action	11/04/2015 05:50		[REDACTED]	No	
Log entry:	<p>A1. injuries unknown at this time due to lack of engagement. A2. No photos taken att A3. medical consent to be obtained if AGGD identified. A4. BWV of parties involved taken and burnt to disc. A5. Victim statements to be obtained if parties willing to engage. A6. No swabs taken as parties declined</p> <p>A7. no other forensic oppertunities A8 house to house n/a A9. cctv has been collected and viewed. unclear who is the offending party. A12. No weapons used. A14. Witnesses have been identified and recorded if there is a complaint then witnesses to be contacted to see whether they will give statements</p>				
Investigator action	14/04/2015 13:03		[REDACTED]	No	
Log entry:	<p>A5. Called [REDACTED], he has informed that he was attacked for no reason and was wearing a white shirt and it will show on the CCTV. CCTV shows three or four males wearing white shirts and it is unclear which one [REDACTED] is on CCTV. [REDACTED] has informed he cannot remember much about the incident but he is willing to provide a statement about this at his [REDACTED] however he is next available on Sunday 19th April 2015 at 1900 hrs due to work commitments and his nan in hospital who he will be visiting during the week. Appt booked with [REDACTED] on Sunday 19th April at 1900 hrs in LA diary.</p>				
Sergeant review	18/04/2015 11:27	18/04/2015 11:27	[REDACTED]	No	
Log entry:	<p>This incident relates to a fight outside the Kebab Shop in Victoria Street, unfortunately the CCTV controller was unable to confirm exactly what had occurred, so initially crimed as an affray, OIC has set up a list of actions to contact those involed at the time all involved did not wish Police Involvement,</p>				

CCTV has now been viewed, but does not aid investigating officers so at this time are waiting for completion of outstanding actions and will review again. OIC to complete outstanding actions asap. Once done a decision will be made as to how we can progress this enquiry.

Investigator action 19/04/2015 23:10 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: A2) Photos of the agg'd will be sent to me from [REDACTED] mother.
A3) Medical consent not obtained as [REDACTED] refused to get any treatment for his injuries.
A5) [REDACTED] has provided a statement.

Sergeant review 27/04/2015 04:12 27/04/2015 04:13 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: This enquiry was left in the Violent Crime enquiry tray at Windsor so statements and enq's could be progressed, thus far there are still outstanding witnesses / aggds to contact, i have now taken the job out of the tray and returned it to the oic to progress enquiries, which include making contact with outstanding witnesses to see they are willing to provide statements, CCTV has been recovered and viewed, and it is hard to tell who are the main offenders / aggds, and the outcome of this case will rely on statements obtained from witnesses.

Investigator action 27/04/2015 22:27 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: A14. Witnesses contacted [REDACTED] phone is unable and not in use just rings out.
[REDACTED] has a message left with my contact details to call back if they would give us a statement.

[REDACTED] message left with contact details to call back regarding giving a statement.
[REDACTED] message left with contact details regarding giving a statement.
[REDACTED] has been contacted and does not want to give a statement.
[REDACTED] message left with contact details to call back to give a statement.

Investigator action 30/04/2015 20:15 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: A14. have tried to make contact with witnesses again 20:00 hours 30/04/15
[REDACTED] Phone rang a few times then was cancelled to voicemail.

[REDACTED] no answer
[REDACTED] no answer
[REDACTED] no answer

Inspector review 16/05/2015 17:55 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: I assess that this investigation is progressing diligently with excellent OIC updates. The investigation is progressing well towards a positive resolution which fully reflects the Policing values but is hampered by the lack of engagement from those involved..

Investigator action 25/05/2015 09:22 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: I spoke to [REDACTED] last week and discussed the cctv with him that actually shows either himself or another male in his party initiating the fight with the other party. we have no complaint from the other party and anyone else involved and now [REDACTED] has stated he does not wish to take this matter further. he requested last week he would like to see the cctv which I have stated he can do. He did not get back to me regarding this. I have tried to make contact with him again and left a message for him to call me back if he still wishes to view the cctv.

Sergeant review 01/06/2015 22:39 01/06/2015 22:39 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: Enq 's have been completed, this was a fight outside the Istanbul Kebab House, in Victoria Street, Windsor, btwn two groups of men under the influence of alcohol, on the night due to resourcing issues, and trouble confirming who was the aggressor from CCTV (LV), arrests could have been made, but it would have been 8 persons for Affray, so names were taken on the night, although all persons on the night, did not wish POlice Involvement and did not make any complaints, and ViolentCrime Enquiry Package was put together for further work to be completed by Shift Officers at Windsor, as a result of those enq's only one person made a complaint, no one else wish further Police Action, CCTV was recovered and the only person who has made the complaint appears to be the main aggressor, he has be advised of this, therefore as this enquiry has now dragged on for a few months now, I see no reason for this matter to be progressed any further and do not believe it to be in the public interest to proceed, this job can now be filed.

Sergeant review 01/06/2015 22:48 01/06/2015 22:48 [REDACTED]

T1500401479
Apply
outcome/detection
Closed

Log entry: Please apply outcome 15.

Scrutineer/DDM review 02/06/2015 13:22 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: SCRUTINEER REVIEW FOLLOWING TASK - AIO at this time.

OUTCOME APPLIED - CODE 15

Classification Correct - YES

Offence Location Confirmed - YES

Victim Details Complete - YES

Hate Crime Qualifier Confirmed ? YES

Occurrence enquiry log report

Thames Valley Police

Occurrence: 43150336176 Public Order Act Offences (Secs 4, 4A And 5) Alarm Or Distress/Fear Or Provocation Of Violence [9A] @28/11/2015 05:42 (SGM, IN WINDSOR INNER (1-89), VICTORIA STREET, WINDSOR, BERKSHIRE United Kingdom (County: BERKSHIRE, Neighbourhood: LA WINDS

Task status: All

Log type: All

Valid as of January 14, 2016 at 14:48
 Printed by [REDACTED].

Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
Enquiry log	28/11/2015 05:45		[REDACTED]	No	
Log entry:	ORC - AGGD AND SUSPECT ENDED UP SQUARING UOP AGAINST EACH OTHER DUE TO A TAXI DISPUTE. AGGD PUSHED SUSPECT AWAY AND SUSPECT THEN PUNCHED AGGD IN THE HEAD.				
Investigator action	28/11/2015 05:55		[REDACTED]	No	T1500925512 For action Open

Log entry:

- INITIAL INVESTIGATION ? ASSAULT
- A1. Area search ? evidence and suspect - SUSPECT DETAINED ON SCENE
 - A2. ID/ preserve scene - LOW LEVEL ASSAULT, SCENE IDENTIFIED AS STREET BUT NO NEED TO PRESERVE
 - A3. ID/record suspect including description - SUSPECT DETAILS ATTACHED TO OCCURANCE
 - A4. Victim statement/ VRI - COMPLETED
 - A5. Task CSI ? attendance or photos of injuries - WITHDRAWN AS NOT NESSESARY
 - A6. Witness statements/VRI - 2 IDENTIFIED. THE KEY WITNESS (KC) MG11 COMPLETED. THE SECOND (GM) IS NON IDEPENDANT AS VICTIMS SISTER BUT MG11 OUTSTANDING
 - A7. Complete medical consent (GEN 41) - DUE TO LOW LEVEL NOT COMPLETED BUT AGGRIEVED ADVISED IF HOSPITAL ATTENDED TO UPDATE POLICE
 - A8. House to house - NO FURTHER WITNESSES CAME FORWARD TO POLICE
 - A9. Preserve CCTV - OUTSTANDING
 - A10. Arrest suspect & record any significant comments - SUSPECT ARRESTED. SIGNIFICANT COMMENT IN CUSTODY. THIS HAD BEEN NOTED AND SIGNED ON CUSTODY LOG.
 - A11. Forensic opportunities ? seize clothing/swabs from victim and suspect - SWABS FROM AGGRIEVED TAKEN. DTT/01 - FACE SWABS FROM SEAGROVE
 - A12. House search ? section 18/32 PACE
 - A13. Conduct suspect interview
 - A14. Police duty statements and BWV footage (initial allegation, injuries etc) - NO BODYWORN FOR THIS INCIDENT, OFFICER MG11'S COMPLETED
 - A15. Request 999 tapes if applicable - WITHDRAWN AS SPONTANIOUS ON THE STREET
 - A16. Submit intelligence report
 - A17. Photo showing/E-FIT where sus is unknown

A18. Risk management ? SIG flag, referrals, DASH, etc

A19. Secondary investigation on location, victim, suspect & witnesses ? database checks ? PNC, PND, Niche, C&C, VISOR etc in order to build full intelligence picture. Does it form part of a series?

A20. Comms/media ? press release/social media

A21. Officer summary in OEL

A22. Complete Niche Qualifier where applicable (Finalisation Misc tab)

A23. Victim/ witness contact ? selected from entry type ' Victim/ witness contact', press F3, select ? Victim contact template? and complete as appropriate, including details of contact contract, i.e. updates agreed every 7/14/28 days. - VICTIM TEXTED THE CRIME REPORT

A24. Victim injuries - NOTED ON OFFICER MG11. BRUISING UNDER LEFT EYE, SWELLING ON LEFT EYEBROW AND SWELLING ON LEFT CHEEK.

Custody review	28/11/2015 14:15	[REDACTED],	No
Log entry:	I have been informed by the interviewing officer that there are 4 further witness statements to collect in relation to this matter and also another CCTV source to be analysed before a disposal decision can be made. DP to be bailed with conditions		
Investigator action	28/11/2015 15:08	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	A 13. Suspect interviewed - answered "no comment".		
Victim/witness contact	28/11/2015 15:08	[REDACTED],	No
Log entry:	V/M left for agg informing him of case progress and request for further witness details as mentioned in his MG11		
Investigator action	28/11/2015 16:24	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	A 9. CCTV - ONGOING.		
Investigator action	28/11/2015 16:27	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	CCTV from LV collected, booked into LA C/P 2556/15. Request sent to add CCTV enquiries at ISTANBUL KEBAB HOUSE added to rolling handover for night turn to action. A 25 - RECORD SUSPECT INJURIES - COMPLETED		
Investigator summary	28/11/2015 16:29	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	Recorded on BWV exhibit ref OWW/2 Public order incident on Victoria street, Windsor involving two groups of persons during a dispute over a taxi. During incident suspect punched agg causing minor injury to eye / cheek area as upload DTT/02. Suspect restrained by door staff from ATIK and arrested at scene by officers. Answered "no comment" in interview. LV footage is not clear and requires more detailed examination. Further CCTV possible from Istanbul kebab house. MG11 required from witness [REDACTED] who was not contactable prior to interview. Further witnesses possible from names given in agg's statement and may also be supplied by sus. Sus bailed until 09/01/15 for outstanding enquiries.		
Investigator action	30/11/2015 07:56	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	A 9. CCTV request from ISTANBUL unactioned. Further request sent to night turn LA sgt.		
Investigator action	30/11/2015 07:58	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	A 6. Further witness P-M identified and added to OEL.		
Investigator action	03/12/2015 06:12	[REDACTED]	No
Log entry:	CCTV enquiries - Staff did not know how to review the CCTV or to download it. Stated that the manager was the person who could assist. Email sent to OIC with manager details.		
Investigator action	05/12/2015 01:33	[REDACTED]	No

Log entry: A 9. CCTV AT INSTANBUL - WITHDRAWN

As below, staff at Istanbul could not burn the CCTV and the manager is away and will not return until 4/01/16, past the expiry date of the CCTV. However, I have viewed the footage and the incident falls outside the footprint of the cameras at Istanbul.

Investigator action 08/12/2015 22:56 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. Call to witness GS - no answer, message left. Call to witness BP-M. She has agreed to give a statement but lives in London. MET emailed to request officer liaise with witness and obtain MG11.

Investigator action 08/12/2015 23:21 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. METPOL CAD NUMBER is: 10160 DATED 8 DEC

Sergeant review 09/12/2015 04:59 [REDACTED] No
S.

Log entry: 2 outstanding witness statements and CCTV to review prior to charge decision.

Investigator action 15/12/2015 06:53 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. Email received from sus who has further witnesses to supply. No info received on numbers of potential witnesses. OIC has emailed back to request their details in order to arrange MG11s.

Investigator action 17/12/2015 11:54 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6 - WITNESS MG11S - OUTSTANDING.

Still at least two witness statements outstanding [REDACTED] I have still not received details from further possible witnesses from sus. MET have now replied stating that they will not take the MG11 from [REDACTED] as this is near the TVP border, although did initially agree. Request sent to PDU unit [REDACTED] who may be able to progress MG11s during OIC's annual leave.

Investigator action 17/12/2015 14:49 [REDACTED] No
G.

Log entry: A 6 - WITNESS MG11's - OUTSTANDING - PC 7516, received request from OIC for assistance taking statements. We will try and obtain outstanding statements.

Investigator action 17/12/2015 20:18 [REDACTED] No
G.

Log entry: A 6 - WITNESS MG11'S - OUTSTANDING - Attempted to contact witness GS. No answer, message left

Investigator action 17/12/2015 20:20 [REDACTED] No
G.

Log entry: A 6 - WITNESS MG11's - OUTSTANDING - Tried to contact witness P-M no answer, message left.

Investigator action 17/12/2015 23:31 [REDACTED] No
G.

Log entry: A 6 - WITNESS MG11'S - OUTSTANDING - Appointment arranged with GS for 1900 on 18/12/15

Victim/witness contact 18/12/2015 15:43 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: Agg updated via telephone. He is aware of the status of the investigation and that I am on annual leave until the new year, but that it is being progressed in my absence. He has asked about the outcome of withdrawing his support for the prosecution (but has not made a decision on this) and I have answered his questions as best as I could so that he is aware of likely outcomes.

Investigator action 19/12/2015 17:33 [REDACTED] No
G.

Log entry: A 6 - WITNESS MG11's - OUTSTANDING - Witness statement obtained from [REDACTED] Continued attempts to be made to contact [REDACTED]

Investigator action 03/01/2016 08:36 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. Note failed attempts to obtain MG11 from witness [REDACTED]. Suspect has also informed me he has not yet been able to obtain contact details for other witnesses present there (presumably friends or colleagues of his) but will provide when he is able. Likely OIC will need to rebail due to outstanding witness accounts.

Investigator action 03/01/2016 10:28 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. Further witness [REDACTED] provided by sus and details added to OEL. Email sent to [REDACTED] requesting MG11 availability.

Investigator action 04/01/2016 11:39 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: Bail extension submitted. I have liaised with sus who is an officer in training at Sandhurst and I have

dates suggested are to fit in with his commitments. Several further statements required from existing witnesses, and also possibly more supplied by sus.

Investigator action 04/01/2016 12:29 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. Witness [REDACTED] spoken to via telephone. Currently in Kent until 14/01/16. Kent police emailed to request an officer obtain a statement (possibly this request may be refused as witness is due back in TVP area after this). MG11 still outstanding from P-M.

Sergeant review 04/01/2016 12:34 [REDACTED] No
S.

Log entry: OIC to see me for a full review

Evidential Review Officer 04/01/2016 13:38 [REDACTED] No

Log entry: I have been asked to extend bail and have discussed this case verbally with the OIC. Only evidence so far is statement from agg?d and his sister, who is not independent. There are other o/s witnesses, mainly friends of both sides, and two live out of area. OIC has made attempts to contact these without success so far. However in the interests of justice these should be obtained in order to provide as clear a picture of the incident as possible prior to any decision being made. Re bail recommended.

Investigator action 08/01/2016 18:04 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: Sus rebailed until 31/01/15. Informed of this via phone and emailed copy of new bail sheet.
08/01/2016 21:30 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry:

Sergeant review 08/01/2016 22:32 [REDACTED] No
S.

Log entry: OIC has an agg'd statement and 2 witness statements. The CCTV does show the incident however it is from a distance and hard to pick out individuals. OIC has been given contact details of others who were present. OIC to contact these possible witnesses to see if they can add anything to the investigation. Suspect gave a no comment interview.

Investigator action 11/01/2016 23:09 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: A 6. Text message sent to witness [REDACTED] arrange cancelled MG11.

Victim/witness contact 11/01/2016 23:18 [REDACTED] No
O.

Log entry: Attempted to email agg to update as currently on night shifts - supplied address does not appear to be correct.